

REMARKS

The last paragraph added to claim 1 is supported at page 7, lines 18-21 and page 9, lines 1-2 in the specification.

In the Official Action, claims 1-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Braund (US 6,373,942). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Braund's computer-interface embodiment, shown in Fig. 5 and discussed at col. 8, line 8 to col. 9, line 7, uses voice recognition software (col. 8, line 59). Thus, it might be argued that the Braun device is a wireless computer-control device (not admitted, for the record). However, Braun discloses no computer terminal hubs on the same housing (casing) that holds the antenna 84. Even for the wire 88 (an alternate to the antenna 84) has no terminal in the housing. Still less are there two terminal hubs on the housing.

New claim 20 is patentable by the same argument as above.

The Examiner asserts (¶ 2 on page 2) that the Braund discloses an input terminal hub coupled with the PCB 62 for connecting a computer 82. With respect, the only terminal hub that can be inferred (it is not disclosed) is one on the computer 82, which is separated from the ear-cuff housing.

Respectfully submitted,



Nick Bromer (Reg. No. 33,478)
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.
CUSTOMER NO. 23995
Telephone: (202) 371-8976
Telefax : (202) 408-0924

February 22, 2005
Date